

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,836	08/05/2004	M. Brandon Steele	023228-0115	4835
27433 75	590 05/18/2006		EXAM	INER
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			WALLING, MEAGAN S	
321 NORTH C SUITE 2800	LARK STREET ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60610-4764		2863	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Supplemental 1	Application No. 0/710,836 Examiner	Applicant(s)  STEELE ET AL.  Art Unit
Aladiaa of Allawahilida	Examiner	
	Examiner	
		Artonic
	Aeagan S. Walling	2863
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (Onerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGIT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and	R REMAINS) CLOSED in the other appropriate communice of the state of the other appropriate communice. This application is subject to the other of th	is application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to 8/05/04 application.		•
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-24</u> .		·
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have be 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have be 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be 3.  Copies of the priority documents have be 4.  Copies of the priority documents have be 4.  Copies of the priority documents have be 4.  Copies of the priority documents have be 5.  Copies of the priority docume	een received. een received in Application Notes have been received in this communication to file a recommunication to file a recommunication.  ed. Note the attached EXAMI reason(s) why the oath or define submitted. I's Patent Drawing Review (Formed to 1) is possible to 37 CFR 1 of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA	this national stage application from the reply complying with the requirements  NER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF claration is deficient.  PTO-948) attached  the Office action of rawings in the front (not the back) of .121(d).
Attachment(s) .	5 Matica of lafour	mal Patent Application (PTO 452)
2. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. 🗌 Interview Sumr	
B. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),	Paper No./Ma 7. ⊠ Examiner's Am	il Date endment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date  Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛭 Examiner's Sta	tement of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9.	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Matthew Martin on November 9, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 1, please replace the preamble preceding the phrase "comprising the steps of:" with "A method of inspecting a sample's surface with an inspection system,".

In claim 2, please replace "The method of inspecting a sample's surface further comprising" with "The method of inspecting a sample's surface of Claim 1 further comprising".

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-24 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 1 is the inclusion of the limitation of providing a non-vibrating contact potential probe; scanning the sample's surface with the non-vibrating contact potential probe by causing relative motion between the non-vibrating contact potential probe and the sample's surface; measuring contact potential difference between the sample's surface and the non-contact potential probe; generating a first signal portion

Art Unit: 2863

characteristic of a topographical feature of the sample's surface and further having a second signal portion representing chemical features of the sample's surface. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable.

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 20 is the inclusion of the limitation of a non-vibrating contact potential difference sensor; a mechanism for causing relative motion between the sample and the non-vibrating contact potential-difference sensor; a mechanism for measuring contact potential difference between the sample and the non-vibrating contact potential probe; a generated signal representing the contact potential difference; and a generated bias voltage applied to a portion of the system chosen from the group consisting of the sample, the non-vibrating contact potential probe, and combinations thereof. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable.

The primary reason for the allowance of claim 24 is the inclusion of the limitation of a non-vibrating contact potential difference sensor; a chuck for rotating the sample about a central axis; the chuck having a variable speed control mechanism for changing rotational velocity in proportion with the motion of the probe to provide the probe with substantially even data density; and a source of data representing a contact potential difference between the non-vibrating contact potential difference sensor and the surface of the sample. It is this limitation in the claimed combination that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record that makes these claims allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ma et al. (US 6,011,404) teaches a system for and method of determining a non-contact, near-surface generation and recombination lifetimes and near-surface doping of a semiconductor material.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/710,836

Art Unit: 2863

Page 5

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800